NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SEVEN

THE PEOPLE, B188365

Plaintiff and Respondent, (Los Angeles County

v.

JOSEPH MICHAEL GOMEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

Super. Ct. No. PA048737)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Burt Pines, Judge. Affirmed.

Debra Fischl, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Joseph Michael Gomez appeals from the judgment entered following his conviction by jury of resisting an executive officer in violation of Penal Code section 69 and his admission of having previously served two separate prison terms for a felony pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b). He was sentenced to three years in state prison, which consisted of the two-year middle term for the felony conviction plus one year for the prior prison term enhancement. The remaining prior prison term enhancement was dismissed under Penal Code section 1385. This appeal followed.

After examination of the record counsel filed an "Opening Brief" in which no issues were raised. On August 4, 2006 we advised Gomez he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. No response has been received to date; Gomez's August 30, 2006 request for an extension of time was denied.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied Gomez's attorney has fully complied with the responsibilities of counsel and no arguable issues exist.¹

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORT

		JOHNSON, J.
We concur:		
PERLI	USS, P. J.	WOODS, J.

¹ Smith v. Robbins (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 277-284 [120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d 756]; People v. Wende (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.